UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,864	02/23/2004	Manfred Ueberschar	VOI0211.US	7576
Todd T. Taylor	7590 05/05/200	EXAMINER		
Taylor & Aust, P.C.			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
142 S Main St. P.O. Box 560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Avilla, IN 46710			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/783,864	UEBERSCHAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	/ u c O u	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION I	N CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same d application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. I periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the peal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the f	inal rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Actiono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CH MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	n, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ne corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee tutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 3 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereon Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS	f (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to t	he date of filing a brief will not be entered because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration a (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for 	and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondi	ng number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See atta	ched Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🛮 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Contir</u>	uation Sheet.
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if su non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24-33,35,38,39,41-44 and 46. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient r was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not sufficient reasons.	rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the stat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	us of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT See Continuation Sheet.	place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08 13. Other:) Paper No(s)
	W : A B . C . V
	atherine A. Bareford/ nary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112 rejection of claim 40 only is overcome by the cancellation of claim 40..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as to the 35 USC 103 rejection of the claims, the Examiner has reviewed applicant's arguments, however, the rejection is maintained. Applicant has provided arguments that none of the cited references provides the enclosing of a space as claimed and the providing of a negative pressure/positive pressure in the space or the placement of the pressure differential device. As well, applicant argues that the wall of Finnicum teaches away from the enclosement step. Applicant also argues that the none of the references teach the combination of a doctor element intercepting a curtain that has flowed over a guideblade as claimed. However, as to the suggestion of enclosing the space, the Examiner remains of the position that the references suggest this enclosing for the reasons fully discussed at paragraph 8 of the Office Action of September 19, 2008. This paragraph also provides a discussion of the providing of negative/positive pressure in the space. As to the placement of the pressure differential device, the Examiner remains of the position that the suggestion of providing such a device would be provided by the need to provide positive/negative pressure in the space between the first and second curtains, thus meaning that a device to provide such pressure would need to be present. As to applicant's argument that the wall of Finnicum would teach away from the enclosement step, the wall shows the need for an enclosing means. Finnicum does not limit what the wall 21 can be made of, and the Examiner has provided Nakamura as to the suggestion of having two curtains in series, such that one curtain acts as "rear wall" 21 for the other. As to providing a doctor element intercepting a curtain that has flowed over a guide blade, the Examiner has cited Bulow as to the benefits of using a guideblade; and '129 as to the benefits of the intercepting doctor -- one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly be suggested to provide both features to a curtain to have the combined benefits..